**PUBLIC COPY**

**identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy**

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security**
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

**U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services**

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER Date: MAR 29 2004

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

for

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submits a statement in which he reiterates his claim to class membership. The applicant also submits copies of previously submitted documents.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (*CSS*), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (*LULAC*), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993) (*Zambrano*). *See* 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

Furthermore, under section 1104(c)(2)(B)(i) of the LIFE Act each applicant for permanent resident status must establish that he or she entered and commenced residing in the United States *prior to January 1, 1982.* On the applicant's G-325A Biographic Information Form, however, the applicant acknowledged that he resided in his native Bangladesh from June 1966 until October 1985. Given the applicant's inability to meet the statutory requirement of residence in the United States since before January 1, 1982, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

Accordingly, the issue of whether the applicant applied for class membership in the *CSS-LULAC* lawsuit is moot. Nevertheless, give the nature of the documentation the applicant submitted on this issue, some discussion is warranted.

With his LIFE application, in response to the director's notice of intent to deny, and now on appeal, the applicant has submitted photocopies of notices he allegedly received from the Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services, or CIS). These notices related to applications and motions to reopen or reconsider that the applicant purports to have submitted, or attempted to submit, to CIS. If authentic, these notices could possibly serve as evidence of a claim by the applicant for class membership in *CSS/LULAC* prior to October 1, 2000.

None of these submissions, however, includes a CIS Alien Registration Number (A-number, or file number) for the applicant, as required in 8 C.F.R. § 245.14(b). Furthermore, there is no record of CIS generating the photocopied notices or receiving any of the applications allegedly submitted by the applicant. Clearly, the applicant did *not* file the special agricultural worker (SAW) application. If he had, an A-file would have been created at that point. As he did not file a SAW application, he could not have filed a motion to reopen such application. The photocopies the applicant has submitted regarding the SAW application and motion cannot be authentic. Moreover, the applicant's failure to submit either originals or photocopies of the applications themselves, and the corresponding money orders which were purportedly rejected and returned by CIS, further undermines the credibility of his claim to have submitted such applications.

Doubt cast on any aspect of an applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence. It is incumbent upon an applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I. & N. Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

It is further noted that the applicant is one of many aliens residing in New York City who have furnished such

questionable photocopied documents with their LIFE applications. None of these applicants had pre-existing files with CIS prior to filing their LIFE applications, in spite of the fact that they all claim to have previously filed applications or questionnaires with CIS. These factors raise even more serious questions regarding the authenticity of the applications and supporting documentation in the instant case.

It is concluded that the photocopies the applicant has submitted do not establish that he actually filed a written claim for class membership in *CSS/LULAC*, as required in section 1104(b) of the LIFE Act. For failure to meet this statutory requirement, and because the applicant acknowledges that he did not enter and begin residing in United States prior to January 1, 1982, as required in section 1104(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, the applicant is ineligible for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.